UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,510	05/24/2006	Lothar Volkl	06038	6279
	7590 06/16/201 CHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAMINER		
1727 KING ST SUITE 105		EIDE, HEIDI MARIE		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,510	VOLKL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HEIDI M. EIDE	3732		

	HEIDI M. EIDE	3732						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •	du alam ar almanife dan d	ha laawaa far					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	aucing of simplifying the	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).								
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-26</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
	See Continuation Sheet.							
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: The claim objections and 112 first paragraph rejections would have been overcome by the amendments to the claims if proper.</li> </ul>								
10.1.1.10.11								
/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/Heidi M Eide/							
CHOEDVISORY EXIETY EXAMINEL ALL CHILL 37.37	Everniner Art Unit 2722							

Examiner, Art Unit 3732

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The limitation of the referencing being on the support means or the duplicate is still unclear in each of the independent claims. In each or the independent claims, the applicant has claimed storing in a computer a referencing of a support means or a referencing of the duplicate. However in further dependent claims, the applicant claims matching up the data with the referencing data stored in the computer (claim 14) and the that references are specific shapes on the base plate (claims 18-20), however the limitation of the referencing being on the base plate/support means has not been positively claims in the independent claims by the use of the term "or", therefore it is not clear what the applicant is trying to claim with respect to the referencing on the base plate/support means in the dependent claims when the limitation has not been claimed in the independent claims. Further regarding the limitation of "determining said referencing allocated to said sections" in claim 13, it is still unclear what the applicant is trying to claim as discussed in detail in the final rejection.